

AGENDA

Idaho County Historic Preservation Commission
Monday, March 11, 2019
Courthouse – Idaho Commissioners Meeting Rm
Grangeville, Idaho
1:00pm – 3:00pm

1:00 – 2:00 Steve Lucas/Kurt Steele, USFS NezPerce/Clearwater Forest – Management considerations of TCP properties on public lands
2:05 – 2:10 Bylaws – Read Meeting Day and Time (2nd reading)
2:10 – 2:15 Bylaws – Read Number of Meeting Per Calendar Year (1st reading)
2:15 – 2:30 National Alliance for Preservation Commissions CAMPs in Idaho
2:30 – 3:00 Commissions Action Plan for 2019 – Develop Action Plan
Turn in Commissioners Hours to Marge Arnzen

**Please note that the Monthly February meeting was cancelled due to snow conditions that kept members from traveling to meeting. No February Minutes.

Monday, March 11, 2019 – Meeting Minutes

The meeting was called to order at 1:05pm by Chairperson, Jamie Edmondson.

Commission Members Present:

Taffee Schaack, Karen Crosby, Erin Duden, Ron Miller, Scott Swearingen, Delbert Mattson, Bryan Mahoney

Commission Members Absent: Marge Arnzen, Jim Chmelik

USFS NezPerce/Clearwater Forest Service Guests: Kurt Steele, Acting Floor Supervisor, Steve Lucas Archeologist, Dan Polito Archeologist

USFS NezPerce/Clearwater Forest Management Considerations of TCP properties on Public Lands:

Discussion:

To start the discussion, the forest service guests wanted to hear questions from the Commission members. The Commission members were interested in understanding the possible impacts, to the Forest Service agency, of the Lochsa Corridor nomination application had the Tribe gone forward with pursuing their nomination. How would working with different organizations impact the Idaho County citizens. Did the forest service analysis pickup on various keywords that were used in the redacted version of the nomination? What were the analysis results of keywords (Culturally Modified Trees, Carns, Trails, Intervening Spaces) and their impacts? For example, how many culturally modified trees are in existence today within the impacted area? Regarding keywords and the amount of times used in document, how can everything be special when nothing is special? What were the forest service concerns regarding the nomination? Most of the Commission members are new to the commission,

except for Jamie. We have been learning about the 106 process and NEPA. How would this work when engaging with TCP projects in general? How would this affect Scenic Easements? For example, a person buys a piece of property that has a Scenic Easement and wants to build a house. Does the Tribe have a say? No. Had the nomination proceeded, what happens to mining claims and is it possible for private people to file new mining claims? It would just depend on the Office of General Counsel would decide appropriate action based on past treaties and new laws. When a TCP project is submitted to the forest service agency, is it possible for the Commission to receive a notification of TCP project? Yes. The Commission is a representation of Idaho County residents. If the Tribe were to re-submit nomination for only a subset of public land that did not include private property land. None of the impacted area land owners would have a say in the matter. Would the Commission be a recognized organization that the forest service recognizes? Are they aware of any retired Archeologists in Idaho County? How many Agencies would have a seat at the table when TCP projects are submitted to the forest service? Is the forest service aware of Certified Local Government (CLG) that is a part of State Preservation Historic Office? Yes. The Idaho County Historic Preservation Commission is a CLG and is recognized by the National Park Service. The Commission needs a certified Archaeologist so that they can talk directly to the forest service Archaeologist on TCP projects and counsel the Commission? Yes.

Steve Lucas, started the discussion saying that in terms of the process and leading up to the September public meeting that SHPO held at the Super8 Motel. The forest service agency had not seen the nomination application, redacted version, prior to the September 8th meeting. This is when they first had seen the nomination application, redacted version made available at the public meeting. The forest service agency had not formally received the nomination application. It is hard to say what it would look like. There was no forest service analysis done since they had not formally received the redacted version of nomination application. The affected area would still be national forest service land. Had this nomination proceeded, it would definitely have added another layer of bureaucracy. The Forest Service considers cultural resources during all projects. The forest service has talked to their Wild and Scenic Coordinator about the Scenic Easements topic and if the landowner does not know about scenic easement, it doesn't apply. Steve shared other TCP projects the forest service has received like the Rapid River, Pilot Knob, Manning Service Bridge. The reason the Pilot Knob and Manning Service Bridge TCP projects were not listed on the National Park Service list is because the Tribe chose not to pursue a formal National Register listing. Pilot Knob TCP is a eligible property, but has not been nominated. The bridge itself is not listed but the replacement triggered Federal highways involvement. Regarding keywords used in the Lochsa Corridor nomination, keywords are very subjective. In general, intervening spaces is not a legal term or definition. There are quite a few culturally modified, pumpkin pine, trees in the Lochsa Corridor area. Is any tree that has been modified by a particular culture. The Idaho County Historical Preservation Commission would be notified when TCP projects are submitted directly to the forest service agency. There is the Register of Professional Archaeologists where the

Commission can search and seek out a Archaeologists in Idaho. The local forest service agency has five Archaeologists. BLM has one Archaeologist in the area. The Forest Service, BLM, and US Fish & Wildlife Service agencies would be involved with TCP projects. Core of Engineers could be indirectly involved if impact analysis involved river. The Commission should have a Archaeologist on board. The Commission needs to send a formal request to the Forest Service requesting to be involved in TCP project process and be a consulting organization on TCP projects.

Action Items: Steve to provide Commission a list of TCP projects and their status. To setup a notification to the Idaho County Historic Preservation Commission when forest service receives a TCP project submission. Determine how to get the Idaho County Historic Preservation Commission to be a recognized government entity to the Forest Service. The Commission needs to send a formal request to the Forest Service requesting to be involved in the TCP project process and be a consulting organization on TCP projects. The Commission needs to get a certified Archaeologist on their board.

January Meeting Minutes:

Correction on Discussion of Gold Point Grant. Jamie made a motion to accept the December minutes. Jamie cannot make motions given that she is the Chairperson. Replace Jamie name with Delbert's name to accept the December minutes. Karen will make edit to minutes.

Jamie asked the Commission members about their preference in needing to read the minutes in the meetings or just read them at home and provide corrections to Karen. If needed, we can read particular sections at the meeting. Jamie asked for a motion to accept the January minutes. Delbert made motion to accept the January minutes. Ron second the motion. Everyone approved. Motion accepted.

Bylaws Meeting Day and Time 2nd reading: The established meeting time is the second Monday of each month at 1:00pm.

Bylaws Number of Meetings Per Calendar Year 1st Reading: The Commission shall fix its place of meeting and shall conduct a minimum of seven (7) meetings between the months of October through June.

Jamie asked for a motion of the readings to the corrected bylaws. Delbert made a motion to accept the readings as read. Erin 2nd motion. Everyone approved. Motion accepted.

National Alliance for Preservation Commissions CAMPs in Idaho:

Jamie mentioned that she had sent out a e-mail regarding the upcoming CAMPs and wanted to know if any of the Commission members were interested in going. SHPO would like at least one member from each CLG to attend.

Jamie handed out Time sheets to the Commissioners to write down their time.

Tentative April Agenda Items –

1:00- 1:10pm March Minutes

1:10 – 1:15pm Bylaws – Read Meeting Day and Time (3rd reading)

1:15-1:20pm Bylaws – Read Number of Meetings Per Calendar Year (2nd reading)

1:20-1:50pm Commissions Action Plan for 2019

1:50-2:00pm Secretarial Duties